



FACING DEATH

**CONFRONTING
MORTALITY in
the HOLOCAUST
and OURSELVES**

Edited by
SARAH K. PINNOCK

CONTENTS

Prologue: Death as Atrocity ix
Sarah K. Pinnock

PART I. ENGAGEMENT WITH HOLOCAUST TESTIMONY

- 1 Holocaust Victims Speak; Do We Listen? 5
Leonard Grob
- 2 Dying in the Death Camps as Acts of Defiance 17
H. Martin Rumscheidt
- 3 At What Cost Survival? The Problem of the Prisoner-Functionary 31
Lissa Skitolsky
- 4 Witnessing Unrelenting Grief 47
Myrna Goldenberg

PART II. SELF-CONSCIOUSNESS OF MORTALITY

- 5 Living For: Holocaust Survivors and Their Adult Children
Encounter Death and Mortality 61
Michael Dobkowski
- 6 Bearing Witness to a Grotesque Land 77
Amy H. Shapiro
- 7 Melding Generations: A Meditation on Memory and Mortality 94
Rochelle L. Millen

PART III. ETHICAL AND RELIGIOUS REFLECTION

8 Experiences of Death: Our Mortality and the Holocaust 113

Sarah K. Pinnock

9 A Jewish Reflection on the Nazis' Assault on Death 128

David Patterson

10 Auschwitz and Hiroshima as Challenges to a Belief in the Afterlife:

A Catholic Perspective 141

Didier Pollefeyt

11 Facing Death: What Happens to the Holocaust

If Death Is the Last Word? 156

John K. Roth

Epilogue: Witnessing Mortality 174

Selected Bibliography 179

Editors and Contributors 183

Index 189

This volume
ditions imbu
do the murd
them carefu
horror and
our thinking
based on the
on mortality

This boo
rather than
about genoc
the perspect
those people
for compreh
ally well-doo

Auschwitz and Hiroshima as Challenges to a Belief in the Afterlife

A Catholic Perspective

DIDIER POLLEFEYT

From time immemorial, humankind has felt the irresistible urge to give expression to its continuous alliance with life, surpassing the boundaries of both time and space. Therefore, throughout history, human beings have pondered over meaningful images and expressive metaphors that enable them to participate in life in the most honest and creative way without losing touch with the ever-present reality of death.

The twentieth century, however, will always be marked as the age that shook the human perception of death to the core. Auschwitz and Hiroshima uncovered an as-yet unpublished face of death: death as a collective, arbitrary, anonymous, massive, and technological event. Between 1933 and 1945, the factories of death built by the Nazi regime killed millions of people in the most systematic and efficient way, and since the first atomic bomb dropped on Hiroshima on August 6, 1945, humanity as a whole has lived with the constant awareness of the possibility of complete and immediate mass destruction.

This chapter seeks to demonstrate that these apocalyptic events, among others, radically challenge our ways of coping with death and the afterlife. In the decades after Auschwitz and Hiroshima, the human capacity to deal

with death in a symbolic and faithful way was unable to keep step with the speed of these life-changing historical events. This drove the world toward a new and yawning gap between the social and historical realities of mass destruction, on the one hand, and the inner psychological reality of human beings in relation to death, on the other. As a consequence, the need for a new understanding of death—but also a more intense form of fear of death—emerged.¹

From a Catholic theological perspective, we refuse to reconcile ourselves to this pessimistic analysis of our contemporary times. Instead, we seek to offer new tracks that take us away from these pernicious currents and lead us toward a more authentic way of coping with death. We also consider whether the Christian idea of resurrection can appear in this context as a liberating chance of a return to faith after Auschwitz and Hiroshima.

Symbols of Immortality

Human beings have the ability to create symbols. They live from and through images and metaphors. The human person can only get a grasp of his or her body and soul through its imaginary capacity. In order to develop, the human person must compose these images into metaphors and models. This self-actualization of humanity is a universal process to which writers, artists, and visionaries but also scientists, philosophers, and theologians provide essential support.

Throughout history, the human desire to give utterance to the inevitability of death has also led to various vigorous symbols of immortality. In various works, the American religious psychologist Robert Jay Lifton calls them “modes of symbolic immortality.” In total, he distinguishes five modes.

The first and probably most common perception of immortality is the sociobiological mode. That we live through and in our sons and daughters makes us part of a long, endless chain of humanity. This mode is of great importance in East Asian culture, but it also seems to be a universal idea. This category of thought is not a merely biological one, but comprises a social dimension as well: one lives on through one’s tribe, organization, people, or nation.²

A second mode of symbolic immortality is the creative mode. One can extract the feeling of immortality from teaching, performing, building, repairing, writing, healing, inventing, and so forth. Through these acts, a

human being is able to have an impact on the world and to have a self-transcending influence on humanity as a whole.³

A third mode of symbolic immortality is the theological mode. Various religions have the idea of an afterlife, or are at least familiar with the general theological principle of the triumph of the spirit over death. Therefore, Buddha, Moses, Jesus, and Muhammad, each in their own way, transcend death or show the way to transcendence by means of diverse combinations of moral skills, rituals, and revelations.⁴

A fourth mode is the feeling of immortality one experiences because of the continuity with and in nature. One has the experience of living on in the elements of the universe. This environmental approach is a typical feature of animism, indigenous religions, European Romanticism, and Japanese perceptions of nature.⁵

The fifth and final mode differs from the others because of its foundation on an inner condition. It is called the mode of the transcendent experience. This position comprises the experiences of enlightenment or exaltation in which the boundaries of time and space become blurred. These kinds of experiences transcend both daily life and death. They are to be found in religious experience but also in music, dance, conflict, athletics, contemplation of the past, sexual relations, childbirth, friendship, and so forth. The arising of this experience is boosted by festivals and ceremonies in which daily life gets interrupted and all participants are able to forget about time and space. They experience an extraordinary psychological unity, intense corporality, or inexpressible enlightenment. These experiences can be associated with the Dionysian principle of border crossing, the mystical experience of unity with the universe, and Freud's description of the oceanic feeling.⁶

When a human being is able to integrate one of these symbolic modes of expression into his or her perception of death, he or she will, according to Lifton, obtain a feeling of immortality. The active and vital life will persist even in confrontation with contingency and death.

Psychological Disruption after Auschwitz and Hiroshima

The slaughters of the twentieth century caused a general feeling of disruption. The ancient correspondence of vital and nourishing symbols of tradition has been fundamentally harmed. Humanity has always feared death, but what is new is the awareness not only that every individual man

or woman will once stand face-to-face with death but also that segments of the population or humanity as a whole will. This threat was anticipated in Auschwitz and Hiroshima. Humanity demonstrated that it is able to apply technology to the destruction of its own kind. Previously, weapons and wars killed or wounded individuals, leaving shocked families behind, but the boundaries remained: some people suffer, others are restored. History goes on. Modern warfare, however, reveals a ludicrous experience of death: unnoticed victims with no names suffering and dying among thousands of others without ever having seen the faces of their opponents. Humanity has lost the certainty of its eternal existence as a species.

Every mode of immortality has been affected by this extreme disruption in the experience of death, and Lifton explains it from the perspective of Hiroshima.⁷ This chapter considers how his argument can also be made by looking to the impact of the Holocaust on our perception of death.

Even when atomic weapons are kept in their bunkers or even if no gas chambers are currently operating anywhere on earth, their use in the past continues to constitute an inexpressible threat to our actual perception of life and death. The mere possibility of humanity destroying itself, or at least a major part of it, using its self-made technologies of atomic bombs or industrial mass extermination camps fundamentally alters the relationship between the human imagination and the modes of symbolical immortality. In that sense we have all survived Hiroshima and Auschwitz. We are all part of the struggle for meaning and sense in a world where similar tragedies can potentially emerge again.

For the biological mode, the harmful effect is the most clear. The perspective of surviving death through one's offspring becomes doubtful. It is technologically possible to extinguish a whole community, a whole people, and even the entire human race. National borders no longer offer protection. Missiles can be launched instantly, causing mass destruction. People can be deported from all reaches of a continent to one center of extermination. Humanity as a whole could be wiped out.

Problems are even more severe for the theological mode, since it was already affected by the empirical scientific reduction of reality. If very few people survive biologically, or none at all, then the image of spiritual survival loses its symbolic and consoling strength. The theological language of the spiritual and continued supernatural existence after death turns out to be a doubtful promise, if humanity is not even able to guarantee the continued natural existence of a normal life. This crisis in the theological

mode is reflected in the deep crisis that the Holocaust caused in the theological belief in a supernatural, almighty, perfectly good, personal God who is concerned with each of us individually and collectively.

Immortality through the creative mode depends on one's view of the continued existence of one's achievements in areas such as art, literature, social organization, and thought. The extermination of the Jewish people can be seen as the actual witness of the possibility of wiping out the history of a complete nation. Because of the existence of weapons of mass destruction, doubts arise over the endurance of human contributions to culture as such.

Regressing to the fourth, ecological mode is not a possibility either. We all know very well how vulnerable nature is, not only because of our polluting activities but also because of (biological and chemical) weapons. Also here, not only Hiroshima but also Auschwitz undermines the ecological mode. The Holocaust can be seen as an attack not only on humanity but also on nature. The Nazis' ideology made use of naturalistic categories to legitimize their crimes. For the victims, nature was an additional source of suffering rather than a source of redemption.⁸

The disruption of these four modes has led, at the end of the twentieth century and the beginning of the twenty-first century, to a greater trust in the mode of the transcendent experience. With this shift, the postmodern world of experience carries the burden of meeting these needs. It is not a coincidence that we live in an era of widespread attention to intensified forms of experience through music, Eastern meditation techniques, dance, alcohol, hunger for violence and sensation, sexuality, and drugs. Without other modes of transcendence, people are attracted by immediate sensations and, as a consequence, are less susceptible to deterioration of the historical durability upon which the other modes are much more dependent. In the end, the existential desire for meaning that is filled up by these experiences turns out to be continued and even strengthened.⁹

In the end, numbness and insensibility also become characteristic problems of our present-day society. The historical events of recent history are too absurd and dreadful to be turned into meaningful events. The symbols our culture has at its disposal are not able to make these transformations. Suicide therefore is not only a private affair. When someone takes his or her own life, he or she reveals the failure of our society to pass its symbols of continuity along to its individual members. Experiences that cannot be symbolized in a meaningful way or be internally converted can no longer

be integrated in society. Things that we can no longer face, but which cannot be avoided, are to be covered up. For medical science, for example, death becomes a defeat—an unwelcome intruder—that cannot be accepted anymore as an event characteristic to life. This attitude toward bereavement explains why Western people in general are not able to mourn anymore, despite the importance of this phase for psychological health. Today one tries to hide the factual reality of death from oneself.¹⁰ This denial of death is also an important breeding ground for the so-called revisionism and negationism that relativize, minimize, or even deny the Holocaust extermination camps.

During the nineteenth century, the suppression of sexuality led to various underground and degenerated forms of sexuality, as Freud saw in his consultation room. Our era can be connected to the development of a film genre all its own, which one can describe as a pornography of death. Finally, the loss of belief in immortality might also lead to desperate attempts to conquer the fear of death. In this context, totalitarianism can be understood as the absolute allegiance to a political system of power composed of all-or-nothing concepts, violently suppressing the complexity of reality and nonconformity. That these totalitarian systems often victimize social groups and minorities betrays a deficient and harmful psychological attitude toward one's own immortality. Through considering others (Jews, gypsies, homosexuals) as less human, one tries to guarantee one's own immortality by radically denying that of others. In this way, psychological despair led to the legitimization of Hitler as a hero and victor over death. Due to this reality, however, the degenerated search for immortality has produced an endless flood of corpses.

Toward a Renewed Immortality

Freud's patients were victims of their repressive social situations: they suffered from fear and a sense of guilt because of their inability to express their sexuality. Today humanity faces a new taboo: the violent death of a hundred million human beings in several wars and extermination camps all over the world. These victims make it very difficult to speak about death. Death remains covered, negated, and buried as much as possible. Death has been banished from family life and is reduced to a medical act. An increasing number of funerals, for example, are held in strict intimacy, which means

without the participation of the larger community. Moreover, acquaintances are often informed afterward.

But no more than the suppressed sexuality in Freud's days will death disappear of itself without critical awareness. All over the world today people can begin to realize that the new possibility of self-destruction calls for a renewed understanding of death. If we want to overcome today's cultural-historical crisis, we will have to reconsider our ways of thinking about death. This step forward will turn out to be the only way to reorganize our lives. Auschwitz and Hiroshima offer critical opportunities for preventing an even more overwhelming destruction—a catastrophe whose shadow they have already cast before us. Therefore, it is essential to look for the revitalizing powers that are able to bridge the contemporary anthropological and religious gap concerning symbols of immortality.¹¹ To clarify the means of constructing this new symbolic language, we will have to turn back again to the five modes of immortality.

Today the social-biological mode crops up again in the rediscovery of certain fundamental biological facts: our choice for organic food, our perception of sexuality as meaningful, the joint education of children, the spontaneity of our corporal and psychological expressions, the increasing role of elderly people in our society. Also, the societal struggle with the binaries of masculinity and femininity, the ongoing debate over the end of life of terminal patients, the renewed interest in palliative and terminal care, and the public discussions over abortion and euthanasia point at important developments within the biosocial mode of immortality.

The way that human beings relate to their work is altering as well. More and more people strive for a working experience that contributes immediately to the continuity of their human aspirations, illustrated by the present-day working communities of artists, educators, and activists on a small scale. Technology has made it possible to leave degrading work to machines and has enlarged the facilities for spare time and its creative possibilities. In many areas, one strives to narrow the gap between work and family life.

The human aim for immortality by means of nature becomes manifest in the ecological concern that is increasing worldwide. In the search for a closer relationship, the meaning of nature symbolism is affirmed again. The confidence in nature's chances to survive is, moreover, endorsed by the gradual dismantling of large arsenals of nuclear weapons.

In the domain of the transcendent experience, more people strive toward

renewed forms of authenticity beyond the feeling of emptiness. Through their work, politics, games, meditation, and all kinds of everyday relationships, people search for periodic or permanent forms of inner harmony, completeness, and unity. In the future, this transcendent meaning can lead toward very important forms of symbolic reorganization.

Christian Perspectives on Life after Death

But what about the theological mode after Auschwitz and Hiroshima? From a Christian point of view, the revitalization of the theological perspective of life after death is crucial, especially in the light of mass destruction. If the God confessed in Christianity is really a God who loves humanity unconditionally, and thus really saves people, it is impossible for us human beings to believe that this love would cease at death, and especially at a death through genocide and mass destruction, the most radical and inhuman form of death. This conviction is based on the core of the experience of God in the Christian tradition as it finds its origin in the First Testament and is further revealed in Christ. A consequence of belief in a liberating and saving God is that there has grown an eschatological completion of reality. Neither extermination camps nor nuclear weapons can destroy this perspective; on the contrary, they make it even more necessary. If God saves humanity, he must save it especially from such a horrifying destruction, and not in a tentative and partial way, but finally and totally.¹²

In this perspective, Christian theology after Auschwitz and Hiroshima can contribute to the rediscovery and reinterpretation of the symbols of immortality. But in what way, then, can the Christian belief in the resurrection of Jesus Christ give meaning to our contemporary context (theological mode)? We consider the belief of Christians in the resurrection as a particular confessional way to integrate the scattered and implicitly lived modes of immortality in a more conscious and anthropologically founded and religiously grounded way. This concept uncovers the conviction that the Christian theological representation of the "afterlife" is not an esoteric theory reserved for a limited group of insiders. Rather, it is deeply rooted in a universally accessible human experience of existence that is further interpreted and experienced in a religious way. In other words, the theological mode is no alternative or clashing interpretation of the belief in immortality but brings in the various anthropological modes and takes them up to a living reality and a living person, namely, Christ.

The th
stood as
human co
this com
our relati
why Chri
After Au
spective: v
mass mur
munity of

Especi
the close
fact, this
ative mod
reaching
is even cl
After Aus
ness that
meaningf
shown ho
way possi
after deat
remain u

The th
dom of G
tion for C
redempti
which I e
people bu
also as a p
are even
ation to H
Therefore
Christian
person in

To co
points to
just as a r

The theological notion of the "communion of saints" can be understood as an image of the sociobiological mode. We are part of one big human community, and our death stands for the definitive admission into this community of the deceased and future generations. This implies that our relationship with the dead can never be destroyed. That is the reason why Christians pray not only *for* the deceased but also *to* the deceased. After Auschwitz and Hiroshima, this link is crucial from a Christian perspective: we stay in a lived connection and community with the victims of mass murder. We do not just remember them; we belong to the same community of the living.

Especially in the Catholic tradition of Christianity, emphasis is put on the close relationship between the "here and now" and the "hereafter." In fact, this closeness implicitly affirms the value of our earthly works (creative mode). Our actions on earth are not futile occupations but have far-reaching consequences, even in the afterlife. In process theology, this idea is even clearer: our actions on earth influence the being of God himself. After Auschwitz and Hiroshima, Christians can recognize all acts of goodness that were done in favor of and by the victims of mass destruction as meaningful in God's eyes. They never get lost. In other publications, I have shown how forgiveness of the perpetrators of mass destruction is in no way possible without justice, punishment, and repentance.¹³ Faith in life after death is, for believers, the ultimate guarantee that no injustice will remain unpunished.

The theological image of "a new heaven and earth" points to the Kingdom of God that comprises creation as a whole (mode of nature). Redemption for Christians is not just the redemption of the human soul but the redemption of the whole of creation. In the book *Holocaust and Nature*, which I edited, it becomes clear how the Nazis not only attacked the Jewish people but also attacked and destroyed nature, not only as a concept but also as a physical reality.¹⁴ The consequences of nuclear weapons on nature are even more dramatic. From a Christian perspective, God entrusted creation to His people so that His Kingdom of peace and justice could expand. Therefore, God can also not let go of His creation. For this reason, from a Christian perspective, nature will be recreated together with the human person into an incorruptible and complete reality.

To conclude, the Christian conviction of the resurrection of the body points to the Christian belief in salvation for human beings as a whole, not just as a merely abstract spirit. This promise of the ultimate completeness of

humanity, averse to every inhuman dualism, implies the promise of a definitive transcendent experience of healing and salvation. Of course, this is the most difficult aspect to understand after Auschwitz and Hiroshima, given the attack on the body through mass destruction. How can this salvation of the body be conceived? A person is only fully human when his or her body is not just a means, an object, or an instrument (*un corps objet*) but if his or her body co-constitutes his or her subjectivity (*un corps sujet*). For this reason, based on their belief in God's promise of the salvation of humanity, Christians can only believe in the salvation of the total person, and this means also in the person's personal, corporal integrity. Of course, the body as object (*corps objet*) can be destroyed and exterminated, but Christians believe in the restitution of the body as subjectivity and personality (*corps sujet*), especially the flesh and bone of the persecuted and exterminated person.

The promise of the final or eschatological completion of humankind is no more than the consequence of the core of the Christian message that God is love. It relates to the human person as a social being, as a moral being, as a being in connection with nature, as a being with physical integrity, and as a being with a transcendent capacity and goal. Reaffirming this belief in light of Auschwitz and Hiroshima is a powerful response to the destructive powers in the world. It is the only thing a Christian can do and live for.

CONTRIBUTORS' QUESTIONS FOR DIDIER POLLEFEYT

1. Pollefeyt argues that the mass death that human beings unleashed on one another in the twentieth century "shook the human perception of death to the core." At the end of the day, however, the disruption seems to be less radical than his account at first suggests. If Hiroshima and Auschwitz called into question earlier ways of thinking about immortality—modes that Lifton identified as sociobiological, creative, theological, continuous with and in nature, or experientially transcendent—the result is that all five, in one way or another, obtain new life. Pollefeyt attempts to build a bridge over an abyss. At one end of the bridge are the pre-Holocaust modes of coping with death; at the other end are the same modes but now in altered forms. But what about the bridge itself? From what is it built? How does it work? What maintenance problems does it face? Is a toll to be paid, and, if so, what are its

costs as
regard
makes
holds o
has bee
Pollefey
will cre
side of
2. Friedric
tian bel
we shou
valuabl
of faith
instead
the dan
indiffer
of imm
behind
shifts n
the oth
any sor
violenc
ers, and
of imm
those c

How to cr
immortali
the theolo
death not
social acti
Indeed
ity is very
tried to us
Concer
admiration

costs as well as its benefits? Such questions loom especially large with regard to Pollefeyt's theological mode, which, after all, is the one that makes the biggest difference. It does so because only that mode really holds out the hope that individual and community life, as it actually has been lived, is not devoured eventually and forever in the abyss. If Pollefeyt helps his readers to see the bridge he is attempting to build, he will create more confidence that it is honestly possible to get from one side of the abyss to the other.

2. Friedrich Nietzsche was the first philosopher to point out that the Christian belief in an afterlife may lead to nihilism insofar as it suggests that we should value this transcendent life over earthly existence, which is not valuable in itself. Are the problems that Pollefeyt associates with a loss of faith in immortality—numbness, insensibility, psychic impotence—instead the product of our egoistic desire for immortality? For Nietzsche, the danger is that this devaluing of corporeal existence may lead toward indifference for life itself. I think the same problem arises with all forms of immortality discussed in the chapter; any focus on what I leave behind or how I may transcend the limits of corporeal existence only shifts my focus away from the here and now and the immediate cry of the other. I am less optimistic about the future insofar as I witness not any sort of universal effort to arrest contemporary forms of genocidal violence but instead a widespread disinterest in the suffering of strangers, and the majority of social activists are concerned not with any sort of immortality but instead with trying to salvage a decent existence for those condemned to pain before the end of their all-too-mortal lives.

RESPONSE BY DIDIER POLLEFEYT

How to cross the abyss created by the Holocaust in our understanding of immortality? What is the price to be paid for crossing? What is the role of the theological mode in all of this? Does the theological mode of life after death not end in indifference for life itself? Is immortality not distracting social activism away from the cry of the other?

Indeed, the abyss created by the Holocaust in our desire for immortality is very deep. This point can be illustrated by the way the Nazis themselves tried to use and to pervert the modes of immortality, at least four of them.

Concerning the ecological mode, the Nazis had a great respect and admiration for nature. Nazism claimed to have a close relation with nature,

even glorifying "blood and soil" (*Blut und Boden*). It celebrated the contact of the German people with the land, and it saw an almost mystical bond between the German land and the German blood. It was through bloodlines and natural space that the Aryan race would gain eternal life.

In relation to the sociobiological mode of immortality, the Nazis were obsessed by the intergenerational continuation of the Aryan race. At the center of Nazi ideology was a social politics of Nazi eugenics directed not only against the Jewish people but also against all those seen as unworthy of life including homosexuals, the feeble-minded, the degenerate, the idle, the insane, and the weak. The central idea was to take these people out of the chain of heredity to guarantee the healthy, sociobiological continuation of the Aryan people.

The Nazis also tried to use the creative modus to guarantee the eternity of the German Reich. Nazism hoped to create a thousand-year Reich that would result in a cultural rebirth of Germany. This rebirth would become clear in Nazi architecture, Nazi sports, paintings, sculpture, music, film, theater, and cinema. At the same time, the German Reich tried to free Germany of "degenerate art," which was to be purged from German culture.

It is not difficult to see in Nazism the transcendental mode also at work. Many adherents of National Socialism felt intuitively drawn to the Nazi worldview and its powerful allure. For many seekers, the primary motivation behind a (Nazi) conversion experience was a desire for transcendence, a wish to search beyond themselves to find meaning and purpose.

In *To Mend the World*, Emil L. Fackenheim argues that the foundation to live out the 614th commandment—"You shall not give Hitler posthumous victories"—today receives a grounding (*Boden*) in the ontic reality of the Holocaust itself.¹⁵ The resilience of victims during the Holocaust testifies of the possibility of authentic thinking and acting during the Holocaust. Therefore, such thinking and acting is also possible for us after the Holocaust and, as far as possible, is also compulsory. To apply this to the issue of immortality, the way the victims during the Holocaust authentically experienced and lived out the modes of immortality is not only a basis to resist the Nazi misuse of the concepts of immortality, but it is already a part of this resistance.

In other words, the bridge over the abyss is already built by the victims of the Holocaust themselves and the way they dealt, however fragmentary that may be, with the modes of immortality, even in the most difficult cir-

cumstances. Therefore, in my view, the Holocaust shows both the vulnerability and the resilience of our perceptions of life after death.

During the Holocaust, nature was for the victims not only a source of additional pain and suffering but also often a source of comfort, hope, and even religious experiences, giving a unique expression to the ecological mode of immortality. As Viktor Frankl made clear in his analysis, many victims survived the Holocaust because of the enormous desire to be connected with the previous and future generations continuing the (socio-biological) chain of life and to mean in this survival something to others.¹⁶ The quantity and quality of art generated by victims of Nazism witnesses the indestructible creativity of persons, even in the most terrible circumstances. Many victims could momentarily escape the suffering of the Holocaust in transcendent experiences by sharing stories, telling jokes, enacting rituals, singing songs, or finding friendship and love.

Therefore, in my view, the Holocaust shows both the vulnerability and the resilience of our perceptions of life after death: the abyss and the bridge over the abyss.

What about the role of the theological mode in all of this? The most decisive observation is that the only mode of immortality not (mis)used by Nazism was the theological mode, since the Nazis did not believe in any theological reality beyond itself (even if we also know theologians under Hitler!). As the papal encyclical *We Remember* argues: "The National Socialist ideology . . . refused to acknowledge any transcendent reality as the source of life and the criterion of moral good. Consequently, a human group, and the State with which it was identified, arrogated to itself an absolute status and determined to remove the very existence of the Jewish people, a people called to witness to the one God and the Law of the Covenant."¹⁷

From this perspective, the ultimate answer to the evil of Nazism, especially its manipulation of the mode of immortality, is to be found in the theological mode. If there is no reality that transcends our human constructions and actions, then, ultimately, the Holocaust will remain relative to history and its victims lost completely and forever. That was exactly the wish of the Nazis in their reaction and even their hate vis-à-vis every theological, in particular monotheistic, belief. And already during the Holocaust, victims continued to pray to God, to discuss with God, to trust in God, to put trust in his condemning or redeeming power. The theological modus of immortality gives a foundation to this hope that trust in a God

of justice and mercy is not in vain. Perhaps this hope is therefore the most ultimate answer to the Holocaust, a hope that finally the evil of the Holocaust will not have the last word, not only in the future but also in relation to the past. It was exactly this hope that the Nazis tried to destroy, but victims resisted.

Of course, I understand fully that theological life after death has also been terribly misused in the course of history, especially as an excuse to be blind to the concrete suffering of people in the here and now. The theological modus made it easy to underestimate or even neglect the pain of victims of social injustice using the "comforting" idea that later victims will have a good life in heaven. In this sense, even the theological modus can be used and perverted by evildoers. But the bad use of an idea does not falsify its more original good intention. For example, because many people divorce today, we should not automatically give up the idea that marriage is a meaningful expression of human relationships. In the Catholic tradition, there is a very strong connection between life before death and life after death. This afterlife is an idea that supports social activism rather than immobilizes it. Those people who have been indifferent or even responsible for the suffering of the other cannot put hope for themselves in an authentic theological mode of immortality. On the contrary, the Nazis hated the theological mode of immortality and tried to destroy it. In this sense, reestablishing faith in immortality after the Holocaust can be for believers a strong act of resistance against the evil of the Holocaust.

NOTES

- 1 Robert Jay Lifton, *The Broken Connection: On Death and the Continuity of Life* (Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Press, 1996), 354.
- 2 Robert Jay Lifton and Eric Olson, *Living and Dying* (New York: Praeger, 1974), 76.
- 3 Ibid., 78.
- 4 Lifton, *The Broken Connection*, 285.
- 5 Lifton and Olson, *Living and Dying*, 80.
- 6 Ibid., 25.
- 7 Lifton, *The Broken Connection*, 339.
- 8 Ibid., 171.
- 9 Lifton and Olson, *Living and Dying*, 83.
- 10 Robert Jay Lifton, *Boundaries: Psychological Man in Revolution* (New York: Random House, 1969), 95.
- 11 Lifton and Olson, *Living and Dying*, 124.
- 12 Roger Burggraeve, *De bijbel geeft te denken* (Leuven: Acco, 1991).

- 13 See, e.g., Didier Pollefeyt, ed., *Incredible Forgiveness: Christian Ethics between Fanaticism and Reconciliation* (Leuven: Peeters, 2004).
- 14 Didier Pollefeyt, ed., *Holocaust and Nature* (Berlin: LIT Verlag, 2013).
- 15 Emil L. Fackenheim, *To Mend the World: Foundations of Post-Holocaust Jewish Thought*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 1994; Fackenheim, *The Jewish Return into History: Reflections in the Age of Auschwitz and a New Jerusalem* (New York: Schocken Books, 1978), 22.
- 16 Viktor Frankl, *Man's Search for Meaning*, with a new foreword by Harold S. Kushner (Boston: Beacon Press, 2006).
- 17 Pope John Paul II, *We Remember: A Reflection on the Shoah* (Vatican City: Libreria editrice Vaticana, 1998).